





World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism

SUSTAINABLE TOURISM CAPACITY BUILDING IN 4 AFRICAN WORLD HERITAGE DESTINATIONS



Initiation workshop

Cape Maclear, 7-9 March 2015

AKE MALAWI NATIONAL PARK

Lake Malawi National Park World Heritage Site

1st FRESHWATER NATIONAL PARK in the World World Heritage Site

WWF (US)

A. INTRODUCTION

1. Background to the World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Project

PROJECT:	SUSTAINABLE TOURISM CAPACITY BUILDING IN 4 AFRICAN WORLD HERITAGE DESTINATIONS
SITES:	4 PILOT WORLD HERITAGE SITES
	 (1) Mosi-oa-Tunya (Zambia/Zimbabwe) (2) Maloti-Drakensburg Park (South Africa/Lesotho) (3) Lake Malawi National Park (Malawi) (4) Ngorongoro Conservation Area (Tanzania)
DURATION:	12 months (November 2014-October 2015)
ORGANISERS:	UNESCO World Heritage Centre, African World Heritage Fund, UNESCO Field Offices, and the Nature, Culture, and Tourism Ministries and Organizations from participating countries
FUNDING:	Government of Flanders and IRIS Foundation

1.1 The UNESCO World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme

World Heritage sites are unique treasures of humanity. These natural and cultural wonders have Outstanding Universal Value (OUV), represent our past and present, and belong to all. These sites are also important travel destinations with huge potential impact for local economic development and long-term sustainability. Travel and tourism is one of the largest industries and heritage tourism is its most rapidly growing international sector. With millions of tourists visiting World Heritage sites each year, tourism has become an important cross cutting issue and management concern at most World Heritage sites.

The UNESCO World Heritage Centre has developed the World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme (WH+ST Programme) to catalyze positive change to protect and conserve the sites while enriching the lives of local communities and at the same time enhancing the experience of travelers. The aim is to develop heritage-based tourism that is based on the Outstanding Universal Values of the properties.

1.2 UNESCO World Heritage Sustainable Tourism Toolkit

In the framework of the World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme (WHSTP), the UNESCO World Heritage Centre (WHC) has developed a capacity building tool for site managers ("How To" Guides) to help them manage tourism at their sites more sustainably. The toolkit consists of 10 guides.

The guides can be found at the following link: <u>http://unescost.cc.demo.faelix.net/how-use-guide</u>

These easily accessible 'How To' guides are focused on best practice approaches to sustainable economic development through tourism. The 'How To' resources offer direction and guidance to managers of World Heritage tourism destinations and other stakeholders to help identify the most suitable solutions for circumstances in their local environments and aid in developing general know-how for the management of each destination.

The series of guides have been structured as a step-by-step process for site managers.

- Guides 1-4 establish the basic foundations for sustainable tourism. Guide 1: Understanding tourism at your destination Guide 2: How to develop a strategy for progressive change Guide 3: How to develop an effective governance structure Guide 4: How to engage local communities and businesses
- Guides 5-10 are tailored to more specific issues, which will have greater relevance at some sites than at others.

Guide 5: How to communicate with visitors Guide 6: How to manage the development of tourism infrastructure Guide 7: How to develop products, experiences and services that add value Guide 8: How to manage visitor movements and behaviour Guide 9: How to secure funding and investment to make progressive change Guide 10: How to monitor and benchmark the success of your efforts

1.3 Sustainable tourism capacity building in 4 African World Heritage destinations

Assistance to site managers and other stakeholders is essential to ensure that the How To guides are used as effectively as possible and adapted accordingly to the sites. To achieve this, the World Heritage Centre and the African World Heritage Fund are carrying out a project **'Sustainable tourism capacity building in 4 African World Heritage destinations'**. The project consists of organizing a series of practical training and workshops in four World Heritage sites in Africa.

Previous economic analysis has shown that even some of the most progressive actors in the field of sustainable tourism lack understanding and knowledge of the different models that are emerging globally. There is little knowledge transfer of good practice; and as a result surprisingly few site managers have a comprehensive understanding about the key issues, the expertise to put theory into practice, or indeed where they can learn more. This project will help site managers and other stakeholders to identify the most suitable solutions for their local circumstances and develop a sense of how to structure management of destination that is based on the heritage values of the site.

2. Objectives of the Project

The aim of the project is to help each site develop a sustainable tourism strategy using the guidance tools developed by UNESCO in order to enhance broad stakeholder engagement in

planning, development and management of sustainable tourism, and provide World Heritage stakeholders with the capacity and the tools to manage tourism efficiently, responsibly and sustainably based on the local context and needs.

3. Expected Results of the Project

Overall goal of the project:

Participating World Heritage sites in Africa plan and manage tourism more sustainably using the guidance tools to improve their effectiveness.

The expected outcomes of the project are:

- 1. For each site to have developed a sustainable tourism management strategy;
- 2. For each site to have a governance structure to support the implementation of the tourism strategy that is based on the OUV of the site;
- 3. Participating sites are actively using the guidance tools to implement strategies addressing the core issues;
- 4. Participating sites have a sense on how to structure tourism management that takes a destination approach;
- 5. Broad stakeholder involvement in planning, development and management of sustainable tourism that follows a destination approach to heritage conservation and focuses on empowering local communities;
- 6. The guides are further refined and adapted to African World Heritage sites.

4. Structure of the Project

The project will take place over 12 months (November 2014-October 2015) and will be structured in 3 phases, as follows:

- (1) Initiation workshops;
- (2) Follow-up workshops;
- (3) Specialized workshops.

Each site will be taken through the 3 phases and will be supported throughout the project by a team of coordinators and resource persons via workshops, discussions, distance consultations, feedback on results and monitoring.

The initiation and follow-up workshop will concentrate on guides 1-4 of the toolkit to establish the basic foundation for strategy development.

5. Initiation Workshop 7-9th March 2015

The third initiation workshop of the project took place in Cape Maclear with the Lake Malawi National Park World Heritage Site from the 7-9 March 2015. Lake Malawi National Park, a natural World Heritage Property inscribed in 1984, is located at the southern end of the great expanse of Lake Malawi. The national park is home to many hundreds of fish species, nearly all endemic.

B. WORKSHOP PROCEEDINGS

1. Workshop programme

The three day workshop consisted of a mixture of practical and training exercises aimed at introducing the sustainable tourism toolkit to the participants. The workshop took place at the Chembe Eagles Nest Lodge in Cape Maclear, Malawi from the 7-9th March 2015. *See appendix 1 for the programme*.

2. Workshop participants

Ten participants attended the meeting from a variety of stakeholders: National parks and Wildlife, the Lake Malawi National Park, the Tourism department, the tour guide association and the lodge owners association for Cape Maclear. The coordination and resource team consisted of: Programme Specialists from the World Heritage Centre Paris; the UNESCO Multisectoral Regional office for Southern Africa Harare; the African World Heritage Fund, and; a UNESCO sustainable tourism expert. See appendix 2 for participant list.

3. Proceedings- 7-9th March 2015

- 3.1 A brief introduction was provided on the first day of the workshop, followed by a practical site visit with the aim of observing the tourism opportunities and activities in the destination. The site visit was guided by a checklist on Sustainable World Heritage Tourism (see appendix 3 for the checklist). The checklist provides a list of criteria regarding tourism management and its contribution to sustainable development at a World Heritage property and assists in identifying possible issues and gaps that should be addressed. Participants visited Kayak Africa, the largest tour operator in Cape Maclear, and took a boat trip to Mumbo Island to view the accommodation available. The participants also visited the environmental centre of the National Park which includes the educational museum, school dormitories and an aquarium.
- 3.2 The second day of the workshop commenced with an opening ceremony with remarks provided by the Director of National Parks and Wildlife, Mr. Brighton Kumchedwa, who stressed the increasing importance of tourism for the economy of Malawi. The workshop proceedings then continued with the main introductory presentations by the resource team on the background of the programme and toolkit. In particular, the concept of a destination was highlighted. A destination is the physical space in which a tourist spends their holiday or vacation. It includes a full range of services, products and experiences. A World Heritage site (WHS) can be a destination in itself. However, more often it is located in, or part of, a wider venue, forming the key, or one of the key, attractions of the place concerned. It is important to manage tourism at a destination scale managing the issues simply within the boundaries of the World Heritage sites would be ineffective. During the introductory presentation by the UNESCO sustainable tourism expert, the Malawian participants

were informed of the need to look at the larger destination covering not only the World Heritage Site but also the villages, towns and activities that surround the site.

- 3.3 Presentations were given by the participants concerning the current visitor statistics, activities and facilities available. Further introductory presentations were also provided by the resource team on the concept of sustainable tourism. The best defence of important natural or cultural heritage is through a progressive vision of how it can evolve to make people's lives better, resulting in the people wanting to protect and defend it better. Tourism can be a driver for preservation and a vehicle for sustainable development if undertaken responsibly, and site management should understand the relevant tourism supply chain and broader tourism destination issues, including congestion management and the quality of life for local people, as well as the need to respect the rights of indigenous peoples.
- 3.4 The next session of the workshop focused on understanding the current situation in the tourism destination and identifying strategic issues. While managing tourism is an on-going effort, successful, efficient and sustainable management requires understanding of the current situation and good planning. Participants reviewed the strategies, policies and structures in place, and while many exist to serve specific entities, it was identified that there is no holistic tourism strategy for the whole destination.
- 3.5 The participants completed a SWOT analysis (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) for the destination as well as identified four common strategic issues that they believe should be addressed in the sustainable tourism strategy. The SWOT analysis revealed many similar issues crosscutting both tour operators and park management highlighting the same four strategic priorities for the tourism strategy: the creation of a destination management organisation; a holistic tourism vision for the whole destination; capacity building in tourism, park values and guiding; and fundraising.
- 3.6 The last step in the process involved creating working action plans covering the next few months, to allow for the participants to develop the strategic vision for the destination according to the four key priorities identified. During the follow-up workshop, the strategy will be consolidated for a destination approach.

C. WORKSHOP RESULTS

1. SWOT Analyses Lake Malawi National Park Destination

1. SWOT Analyses Lake Malawi National Park Destination			
STRENGTHS	THREATS		
- Community and way of life	 Negative perception by tourists 		
 Outstanding Universal Values 	 Lack of economic revenue from Park 		
- Natural beauty, fresh water, Islands, mountains	 Lack of appreciation of OUV 		
 View, sunrise and sunset 	 Lack of land to handle population growth 		
- Beautiful beaches and clean water	 Resources cannot suffice population demand 		
- Sacred and endemic fish, variety of fish species, over	- Uncontrolled development		
500, Scientific study area	 High demand on natural resources of property – 		
 Diversity of plant species and birds 	firewood and fish		
 Early missionaries' graveyards 	 Negative publicity – poor marketing by authorities 		
- Relatively easy access compared to other Malawian	- Illegal and increasing fishing activities (threat to		
National Parks	sacred fish species)		
- Accessible all year round	 Complacency by all stakeholders 		
- Infrastructure in place on ground which can allow to	- Mining proposals		
develop other systems	- Siltation and pollution		
- Variety of accommodation available	- Population growth		
- Warm climate	 Decreasing number of tourists 		
- Safety and security	 Donor goodwill not always reliable 		
- Park structures are in place and resources available to			
assist			
- Communication utilities in place to allow for online			
•			
communication with foreign visitors			
	OPPORTUNITIES		
communication with foreign visitors	OPPORTUNITIES - Availability of governance structures – tour guide		
communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES			
communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES - Long distance from airport	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide 		
communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES - Long distance from airport - Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks)	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish 		
communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES - Long distance from airport - Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) - Inadequate operation resources to manage property	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision 		
communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES - Long distance from airport - Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) - Inadequate operation resources to manage property - Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among tour guides, communities and tour operators (so 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook Training and accreditation of guides 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among tour guides, communities and tour operators (so interpretation not necessarily correct or coordinated) 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook Training and accreditation of guides Dialogue with traditional leaders and other existing 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among tour guides, communities and tour operators (so interpretation not necessarily correct or coordinated) Lack of banking services for visitors and community 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook Training and accreditation of guides Dialogue with traditional leaders and other existing development structures 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among tour guides, communities and tour operators (so interpretation not necessarily correct or coordinated) Lack of banking services for visitors and community Short stay period for visitors 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook Training and accreditation of guides Dialogue with traditional leaders and other existing development structures Develop proposals for funding – UNESCO/AWHF 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among tour guides, communities and tour operators (so interpretation not necessarily correct or coordinated) Lack of banking services for visitors and community Short stay period for visitors Insufficient diversity of tourism activities (some 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook Training and accreditation of guides Dialogue with traditional leaders and other existing development structures Develop proposals for funding – UNESCO/AWHF Power grid – electricity in this destination so internet and email is available to disseminate information easily 		
 communication with foreign visitors WEAKENESSES Long distance from airport Fragmented property (not one entity like other parks) Inadequate operation resources to manage property Lake of coordination among stakeholders in the destination Poor exchange of information among stakeholders Inadequate communication and information on OUV of property Magazines/signage not adequate Lack of global profile – poor marketing Lack of training on OUVs and importance of Park among tour guides, communities and tour operators (so interpretation not necessarily correct or coordinated) Lack of banking services for visitors and community Short stay period for visitors Insufficient diversity of tourism activities (some activities are redundant) 	 Availability of governance structures – tour guide association, government sectors, Masalapa, village natural resources committee OUVs – natural, beauty and sacred fish Can interact with embassies, foreign affairs and airport to help share the vision Media available to disseminate information Develop and improve itineraries, can state where and when they go Home stays, could take 1 or 2 visitors to teach and educate people how they live and cook Training and accreditation of guides Dialogue with traditional leaders and other existing development structures Develop proposals for funding – UNESCO/AWHF Power grid – electricity in this destination so internet and email is available to disseminate 		

- Lack of community ownership (tour lodges and	- High potential for increasing visitor numbers
activities) and thus lack of support for the Park	- Potential for involving local communities in tourism
- Negative perceptions among tourists in terms of health	activities
(malaria, bilharzia)	- Government gives funding to National Park – 50%
- Misuse of natural resources by local community	- Donor funding opportunities available
- Communities do not understand the existence and	- Branding and marketing opportunity
values of park	- Opportunity to connect with different stakeholders
- Lack of coordination among stakeholders- national	- Opportunity for development in community and
park, tour operators and local communities	the National Park
- Not enough control of development in villages	- Training stakeholders
- No tourism strategy on ground	- Diversity of fish, cultural sites, historical sites –
- Inadequate information for tourists by both Park and	product available – just needs to be packaged and
lodges	branded
- Illegal fishing	- Alternative means of income for local communities
- Lack of collection of revenue in park	(through tourism and Masalapa)
- Poor monitoring of ecological behaviour	- Increase park revenue – through increased number
- Lack of an information centre	of visitors if improve marketing strategy
	- Proposed international airport in Mangochi

2. Key strategic objectives identified for sustainable tourism strategy

4 KEY STRATEGIC PRIORITIES:

- 1. Unified tourism vision/strategy
- 2. Destination management organisation
- 3. Tourism capacity
- 4. Fundraising

2.1 Unified tourism vision/strategy: (refer to guide 2 of the toolkit)

Creation of a unified tourism vision/message for destination which covers the Outstanding Universal Values, tourism activities, history of village etc. that can be distributed to visitors, tour guides, operators, and airports.

- a. Develop a clear message on destination
- b. Develop 6 natural and 6 cultural activities to do in the destination (explaining how tourists would fill 3 days and 6 days)
- c. Community activities need to be part of cultural and natural activities
- d. Do's and don'ts for visitors and operators

2.2 Destination management organization: (refer to guide 3 & 4 of the toolkit)

Creation of a destination management organization to meet 4 times a year to include representatives of all stakeholders to begin dialogues between community (Chiefs), tour operators, Lodges, National Park, National tourism department, tour guides etc.

- e. Engage community on the opportunities of tourism
- f. Develop a community/village development plan
- g. Develop a brief clear communications strategy
- h. Sensitization of stakeholders

2.3 Tourism capacity: (refer to guide 7 of the toolkit)

Increase capacity of all stakeholders in sustainable tourism and in the values/vision of the destination, in particular, tour guiding.

- a. Link up with UNESCO and National Federation of Tour Guides on possibility of organizing training
- b. See possibilities of National Parks and Wildlife providing wildlife training
- c. Could be a focus for the third specialized workshop

2.4 Fundraising opportunities: (refer to guide 9 of the toolkit)

- a. Look at possibilities to get international assistance in creating websites etc.
- b. Tap into the 2003 (intangible heritage) and 2005 (cultural diversity) Conventions and the possibilities available
- c. Connect with the UNESCO National Commission of Malawi *Resource team to supply information

D. WAY FORWARD

Over the next few months the participants will be developing the tourism vision and strategy for the destination, to be consolidated during the second workshop planned for June 2015. The sustainable tourism toolkit will be the key tool during this phase, in particular guides 1-4, to assist in the development of the strategy. More specific priorities will be highlighted and targeted for the specialization workshop.

The participants created an action working plan for the follow-up phase to guide the development of the tourism vision and strategy. See below for the action plan.

NO.	ACTIVITY	HOW	WHEN	RESPONSIBILITY	Remarks
1.	Unified tourism vision/	strategy			
1a	Develop a clear	Stakeholders meeting	By 30 th	PM/AJ	Allan to
	message on destination		March,2015		sponsor
1b	Develop 6 natural and 6	Meeting after	By 30 th	Medson,	
	cultural activities to do	collecting the	March,2015	Makulu (culture)	
	in destination	information		and PHILLIP	
1c	Communities Activities	EE and Tour guides to	By 30 th	LMNP/TOUR	
	need to be part of	meet local institution	March	GUIDE	
	cultural and natural	at Cape Maclear			
	activities				
		Stakeholders meeting	*b		
1d	DO'S and DON'TS for	Consultation with the	BY 30 th	Mgt and	
	visitors and operators	community, checking	March	Utilization	
		the National Park code		DOT	
		of conduct, literature			
2	Destination monocome	review			
2.	Destination manageme		By 30 th	Lawara and	
2a	Engage community on opportunities to make	Talk to community	By 30 March	Laxon and	
	money		IVIALCII	National parks	
2b	Communications	Talk to all stake holders	Refer to 1a	AJ/PM	
20	strategy				
2c	Sensitization of		3oth March	All groups	
	stakeholders to				
	meeting				
3.	Tourism capacity	•			
3a	Tourism capacity	Write up a list of needs	After 1 st	National parks(
		for guides	meeting	of Malawi), Tour	
				guides	
4.	Fundraising opportunit	ies	1	1	
4a	Fundraising	Look at possibilities to		James Rebanks	
	opportunity	get international			
		assistance			
4b		Tap into the		Yvette Kaboza	
		possibilities available			
		into the 2003 and 2005			
4 -		Convention			Last of
4c		Connect with UNESCO National Commission		AD (DNPW)	Last of
					the activity
					activity after the
					proposal
					have been
					developed
	l	l			ueveloped

E. CONCLUSION

The workshop successfully brought together a variety of stakeholders to think strategically about sustainable tourism in the whole destination based on the conservation of the site. Clear challenges and opportunities were highlighted and four strategic priorities were identified that will form the basis of the overall tourism destination strategy. The participants highlighted the need to create a destination management organization consisting of all the relevant stakeholders of the destination in order to: sensitize the stakeholders on the values of the World Heritage property and to engage the community in tourism and on the priorities for the tourism strategy taking into account the conservation of the site. The need to create a unified tourism vision/message for the destination was emphasized as a key priority. The tourism vision needs to cover all relevant information for the tourism industry such as the Outstanding Universal Values of the property, tourism capacity for all stakeholders, in particular the need to capacitate tour guides on the values of the destination. The last priority for the tourism strategy is the need to review and focus on fundraising efforts.

F. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the State Party of Malawi for participating in the project, in particular the participants for their active involvement and enthusiasm in working towards a tourism vision and strategy. Further we would like to thank the Department of National Parks and Wildlife of Malawi and the Chembe Eagles Nest Lodge for welcoming the participants and hosting the workshop in Cape Maclear. Special acknowledgments to the UNESCO World Heritage Centre, the African World Heritage Fund, the UNESCO Multsectoral Regional Office for Southern Africa Harare, and the UNESCO expert, Mr. James Rebanks, for providing coordination and resources for the project. Further we thank the financial contributors to this project, the Flanders Government and the IRIS Foundation for providing the much needed financial resources for the implementation of this project.



Participants to the Initiation workshop from the 7-9th March at Cape Maclear, Malawi.

A. APPENDICES

1. Workshop programme

	DAY 1: Saturday 07 March	
	SITE TOUR Lake Malawi National Park World Heritage S	lite
Time	Item	Comments
09:00-09:30	Introductory session	Chembe
09:30-17:00	ORIENTATION SITE VISIT	Meet in reception
	DAY 2: Sunday 08 March	
SESSION 1: 0	pening and Introduction Venue: Chembe Eagle	e's Nest Lodge
Time	Item	Comments
09:00-09:30	-Introductory remarks by Host	Lake Malawi NP
	-Introductory remarks by Organisers	UNESCO/AWHF
09:30-09:45	-Introduction to Project – Aims and expected results of workshop	Pamela Mac Quilkan (PM)
09:45-10:30	-Introduction to WH Sustainable Tourism Programme	Peter Debrine (PD)
	Coffee/Tea break 10:30-11:00	
11:00-12:00	-Introduction to sustainable tourism	James Rebanks (JR)
12:00-13:00	-Presentation on key issues by destination stakeholders	All participants
	Lunch 13:00-14:00	
SESSION 2: W	Vorking sessions Venue: Che	mbe Eagle's Nest Lodge
14:00-14:30	-Introduction to Toolkit (all participants will be provided with the toolkit (guide 1-4)	PD
14:30-15:00	-Introduction with observations on key issues and opportunities	JR
15:00-15:30	Guide 1: Understanding tourism at the Destination	PD & JR
15.00 15.50	Coffee/Tea break 15:30-16:00	1 D C JK
16:00-17:00	Group work	All participants
17:00-17:30	Group work Presentations	Groups 1-3
	DAY 3: Monday 09 March	
SESSION 3: W		mbe Eagle's Nest Lodge
09:00-09:30	Guide 2: Developing a strategy	PD & JR
09:30-10:30	Group work – SWOT analysis	All participants
	Coffee/Tea break 10:30-11:00	·
SESSION 4: Strategic priorities Venue: Chembe Eagle's Nest Lodge		
11:00-11:30	Group work – SWOT analysis	All participants
11:30-12:00	Group work presentations – SWOT analysis	Groups 1-3
12:00-13:00	Consolidation of results into a Master SWOT	JR & participants
	Discussion on strategic priorities	
	Lunch 13:00-14:00	
SESSION 5: W		mbe Eagle's Nest Lodge
14:00-14:30	Discussion on action plan and way forward	PM & participants
14:30-15:30	Group work	All participants
	Coffee/Tea break 15:30-16:00	
16:00-16:30	Presentation of action plan	Groups 1 & 2
16:30-17:00	Closing of workshop	

2. List of participants

Project: Testing the How To Guides in the Destination Management field in 4 Africa Nature sites LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Activity: Initiation workshop Venue: Lake Malawi World Heritage Site Date: 7-9th March 2015

Da	te: 7-9 th March 2015			
	NAME	AFFILIATION	COUNTRY	CONTACT DETAILS
	Workshop participants			
1	Mr. Alex Benson	Park Manager,	Monkey Bay,	alexchunga70@yahoo.com
2	Chunga	Lake Malawi National Park	Malawi	
2	Mr. Dyce Allan Kamwana	Parks and Wildlife Law	Monkey Bay,	kamwanadyce@gmail.com
	Kamwana	Enforcement Officer,	Malawi	
3	Mr. MaDhillin Dasama	Lake Malawi National Park Education and Extension Officer,	Maalaas Dass	abillionerrithe @arreail.com
3	Mr. McPhillip Rosary		Monkey Bay,	phillipmwitho@gmail.com
4	Mwithokona	Lake Malawi National Park	Malawi	
4	Mr. Patrick Chinguwo	Senior Assistant Parks and Wildlife	Monkey Bay,	pchinguwo@gmail.com
		Research Officer, Lake Malawi National Park	Malawi	
5	Ms. Constance		Manaah	
3		District Tourism Officer,	Mangochi, Malawi	makonokayacossie@yahoo.com
	Makonokaya	Malawi Ministry of Information and tourism, Mangochi Office	wialawi	
6	Mr. William Oscar	Assistant Director,	Lilongwe,	wmgoola@yahoo.co.uk
	Mgoola	Department of National Parks and	Malawi	
	-	Wildlife Head Office Lilongwe		
7	Mr. Medson Gregory	Heritage Manager,	Lilongwe,	medsonmakuru@yahoo.com
	John Makuru	Chongoni Rock Art WHS	Malawi	
8	Mr. Lackson William	Vice Chairman of Cape Maclear	Cape Maclear,	luckysonmalawi@yahoo.com
	Maliwanda	Tour Guide Association	Malawi	
9	Mr. Alan Abraham	Chairman of the Cape Maclear	Cape Maclear,	alan@mgozalodge.com
	Joffe	Lodge Owners Association	Malawi	
		Owner of Mgoza Lodge		
10	Mr. Franklyn Nyirenda	Staff Manager,	Cape Maclear,	joseph@kayakafrica.com;
		Kayak Africa (tour operator)	Malawi	jurie@kayakafrica.com
	Coordination and Rese			
11	Mr. Peter Debrine	Senior Project Officer of Sustainable	France	p.debrine@unesco.org
		Tourism, UNESCO World Heritage		
		Centre Paris		
12	Mr. James Rebanks	UNESCO Consultant	England	james@rebanksconsulting.co.uk
				jamesrebanks@me.com
13	Ms. Pamela Mac	Programme Officer	South Africa	PamelaM4@awhf.net
	Quilkan	African World Heritage Fund		
14	Ms. Yvette Kaboza	Culture Officer, UNESCO	Zimbabwe	y.kaboza@unesco.org
		Multisectoral Regional office Harare		

3. Sustainable World Heritage Tourism Checklist

The following criteria function as a checklist regarding tourism management and its contribution to sustainable development at a World Heritage property, and as such identify possible issues and gaps that should be addressed.

1.	ORGANISATION AND MANAGEMENT
1.1	<u>Organisation:</u> The overall management system for the World Heritage property is effectively organized through a department, group, or committee responsible for a coordinated approach to sustainable tourism with external stakeholders (tourism enterprises and, local authorities) in the larger destination.
1.2	<u>Tourism strategy:</u> A multi-year sustainable tourism strategy, suited to scale, has been developed with broad based public participation, is being implemented and is publicly available.
1.3	<u>Protection of OUV:</u> There are measures in the overall management regime to preserve and protect the OUV of the property.
1.4	<u>Stakeholder participation:</u> The World Heritage property has a system that enables destination stakeholders to, on an on-going basis, participate in tourism-related planning and decision-making processes.
1.5	<u>Site interpretation:</u> Interpretation and information material regarding the World Heritage property and its OUV is provided at key locations. The information is communicated in relevant languages and in different media.
1.6	<u>Promotion:</u> Promotion of the World Heritage property's OUV, its products, services and sustainability claims is accurate. Promotional activities for the property are integrated into a campaign with activities at local, national and international level.
1.7	<u>Heritage protection</u> : Protected artefacts and wildlife (products) are not illegally sold, traded or displayed.
1.8	<u>Preventing exploitation</u> : The World Heritage property works closely with the Destination Marketing Organisation (DMO) and other relevant stakeholders in establishing practices to prevent commercial or any other form of exploitation and/or harassment.
1.9	<u>Crisis and emergency preparedness and response:</u> The World Heritage property has a crisis and emergency response plan that is appropriate to the World Heritage property and is part of an overall system for the destination. Key elements are communicated to residents, tourists, and tourism-related enterprises. The plan establishes procedures and provides resources and training.
1.10	Safety and security: The World Heritage property has a system to prevent and respond to tourism-related crime, safety, and health hazards. Systems are integrated into the larger destination and local community.
1.11	<u>Planning regulations:</u> The World Heritage property has planning guidelines, regulations, and policies that integrate sustainable land use, design, construction, and demolition that are integrated into the larger destination. The regulations protect natural and cultural heritage, are publicly communicated, and are enforced.
1.12	<u>Property acquisitions:</u> Laws and regulations regarding property acquisitions exist, are enforced, consider communal and indigenous rights, and do not authorize resettlement without informed consent and/or full compensation and preserve the OUV of the property.

2.	MONITORING
2.1	Monitoring: The World Heritage property has key indicators in place to measure and
	assess the state of conservation of the property, the factors affecting it, the periodicity of
	their examination, and the identity of the responsible authorities.
2.2	Inventory of attraction sites: The World Heritage property has an up-to-date, publicly
	available inventory of its key tourism assets and attractions including natural, historical,
	archaeological, religious, spiritual, and cultural sites.
2.3	<u>Protection of sensitive environments:</u> The World Heritage property monitors the impact
	of tourism on sensitive environments, both cultural and natural, and protect habitats
	and species where needed.
2.4	Economic benefits: The direct and indirect economic contribution of tourism to the
	World Heritage property's economy is regularly monitored, and (part of the) revenue
	deriving from tourism at the property is invested back into the protection of the
	property. These results are publicly reported.
2.5	Local community opinion: Residents' aspirations, concerns, and satisfaction with
	tourism are regularly monitored, recorded and publicly reported. Care is taken to ensure
	that key stakeholders are included and that responsive action is taken where needed.
2.6	<u>Visitor satisfaction</u> : There is a system to monitor, publicly report and, if necessary, take
	action to improve tourist satisfaction.

3.	LOCAL COMMUNITIES
3.1	Local access: The World Heritage property does not exclude local resident from to the
	World Heritage property.
3.2	Support for community: The World Heritage property enables tourism-related
	enterprises to support community initiatives and enhance the conservation of the World
	Heritage property.
3.3	Supporting local entrepreneurs and fair trade: The World Heritage property supports
	local entrepreneurs and promotes fair trade principles.
3.4	Local career opportunities: The World Heritage property provides equal employment and
	training opportunities for local residents as much as possible.
3.5	World Heritage awareness: The World Heritage property provides regular programs to
	residents about the reason why the property is inscribed on the World Heritage List.
3.6	Tourism awareness: The World Heritage property provides regular programs to
	residents to enhance their understanding of tourism opportunities, tourism challenges,
	and the importance of sustainability.
3.7	Intellectual property: The World Heritage property has a system to ensure respect for the
	tangible and intangible intellectual property of individuals and communities.

4.	ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES
4.1	Environmental risks: The World Heritage property has identified key environmental risks
	and has a system in place to address these.
4.2	Solid waste reduction: The World Heritage property has a system to ensure solid waste is
	reduced, reused, and recycled. The World Heritage property encourages tourism-related
	enterprises to adopt waste reduction strategies.
4.3	Low impact transport: The World Heritage property has a system to increase the use of
	low-impact transport, including public transport, in the World Heritage property.
4.4	Light and noise pollution: The World Heritage property has guidelines and regulations to
	minimize noise, light, and visual pollution. The World Heritage property encourages

	tourism-related enterprises to follow these guidelines and regulations.
4.5	Water management: The World Heritage property has a system to monitor, manage and
	encourage minimal waste of water.

5.	VISITOR MANAGEMENT
5.1	<u>Visitor management</u> : The World Heritage property has a visitor management system that includes measures to preserve and protect key natural and cultural assets. The visitor
	management system of the property integrates with a wider visitor management system of the destination.
5.0	
5.2	Access for all: All tourist sites and facilities, including those of natural, cultural and
	historic importance, should be accessible to all, including persons with disabilities and
	others who have specific access requirements. Where such sites and facilities are not
	immediately accessible, access should be afforded through the design and implementation
	of solutions that take into account both the integrity and authenticity of the site and such
	reasonable accommodations for persons with access requirements as can be achieved.
5.3	Visitor behaviour: The World Heritage property has publicly available guidelines for
	visitor behaviour that are designed to minimize adverse impacts: encourage positive
	behaviour rather than punishing negative behaviour.